

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,851	RORIG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JACOB J. CIGNA	3726	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) JACOB J. CIGNA.

(3) ____.

(2) Charles Musserlain.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2010

Time: 12:45pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of Claim 1 over prior art in general, and 35 USC 112.

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

None specifically

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner asked Attorney to combine claims 1 and 2 into a single claim, and to fix the 112 error in claim 1.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)